Lifestyle Interventions Reduce the Risk of Type II Diabetes and Cardiovascular Diseases Development among Pre-diabetic Adults
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ABSTRACT

This paper evaluated the effectiveness of community-based nutrition and physical activity program to change physical activity, dietary behavior, glucose level, and some metabolic parameters among adults aged 50-65 with pre-diabetes after a six-month intervention. Participants who were pre-diabetics and had at least 2 components of Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) in Hanam province, Vietnam were randomly assigned into the intervention (n=44) and control (n=49) groups. The intervention group received a health promotion package, whereas the control group received 1 session of standard advice during six months. Results showed that there were significant increases in the intervention group for physical activity outcomes, including moderate activity, walking time, total physical activity, and mean sitting time. A significant difference was also observed between intervention and control groups at post-test in walking time, total physical activity, and sitting time. For dietary behaviors, frequent use of salt was decreased significantly after 6 months for both groups while the intervention group’s frequent intake of cooking oil was significantly reduced. Regarding fasting glucose level and other metabolic parameters after 6-month intervention, reduction in fasting glucose level were found in the intervention but increased in the control group. Significant improvement in HDL-C and diastolic blood pressure among the intervention in comparison with the control group was reported. A significant reduction in weight in the intervention group in comparison with the control group was also found after the 6-month intervention. This program successfully improved dietary behaviors and physical activity, fasting glucose level, and cardiovascular risk among adults with pre-diabetes in Vietnam.
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INTRODUCTION

Type II diabetes (T2D) [1] and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) [2] are now a heavy burden worldwide and in Vietnam. CVDs are the major cause of death in Vietnam, responsible for 31% of total death in 2016 in the country [3]. Regarding T2D, it is estimated that approximately 6% of the Vietnamese population have diabetes in 2017, equivalent to almost 6 million diabetic cases in Vietnam [4, 5]. It is projected that more people in Vietnam will experience CVDs and T2D in the near future and suggest that the health system in Vietnam should pay extra effort to prevent risk factors of those health problems [4]. Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is described as a group of risk factors for CVD, T2D, and all-cause mortality. It includes abdominal obesity, hypertension, high glucose concentrations, elevated fasting triglyceride, and reduced HDL-C [6]. Literature showed that MetS increases 2-fold in cardiovascular outcomes, 1.5-fold all-cause mortality,
and 5-6-fold increased risk of T2D [7]. Researches estimated that 25% of adults worldwide suffer from the condition [8]. The accurate data may be higher as MetS is often undiagnosed [8]. In Vietnam, MetS is prevalent among middle-aged adults with almost two-fifths of them having MetS. A higher prevalence of MetS was reported among older adults aged 55-64 in Vietnam, accounting for 27% of the group [9].

Lifestyle intervention, combining physical activity (PA) and dietary modification, has been reported as the cornerstone method to reduce CVDs and T2D risk [10]. Previous studies demonstrated that structured lifestyle intervention reduced the risk factors for CVDs, including systolic and diastolic blood pressure, triglycerides, HDL-C, LDL-C, and total cholesterol [10, 11]. In addition, literature has confirmed the effectiveness of the interventions, which motivate participants to improve lifestyle behaviors and weight management for controlling MetS [12].

Vietnam Physical Activity and Nutrition (VPAN) program is a lifestyle intervention program consisting of physical activity and nutrition intervention for adults 50-65 years old with MetS in Hanam province in the North of Vietnam. This cluster randomized controlled trial has been registered with New Zealand and Australia Clinical Trial Registry (ACTRN12614000811606). Details of the intervention program have been described previously [13].

This paper aimed to analyze the effectiveness of the VPAN program in terms of changing PA level, dietary behavior, fasting glucose level, and some metabolic parameters among the pre-diabetic participants after the six-month intervention.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design
This intervention program was a cluster-randomized community-based 6-month controlled trial targeting adults aged 50-65 years with MetS in Hanam province, north of Vietnam. The program in detail has been published elsewhere [13].

Studied participants
In this paper, we analyzed 93 pre-diabetic adults aged 50-65 years (intervention group: 44 participants), (control group: 49 participants) who completed the 6-month intervention.

Inclusion criteria
The participants were pre-diabetes (fasting plasma glucose ≥6.1mmol/L) and had at least two components of MetS status according to the modified National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III criteria [14] as below: 1) large waist circumference (female ≥80 cm, male ≥90 cm for Asian Population (4); 2) raised triglyceride (150 mg/dL or ≥1.7 mmol/L); 3) reduced HDL-C (female 50 mg/dL or <1.29 mmol/L, male 40 mg/dL or <1.03 mmol/L) and 4) elevated blood pressure (diastolic ≥85 mmHg or systolic ≥130 mmHg).

Exclusion criteria
Adults suspected of T2D (fasting plasma glucose ≥7.1 mmol/L); taking or undergoing treatment for T2D, CVD, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and hyperglycemia; or involvement in PA and/or dietary program within the past year were excluded from the study.

Interventions
Details of the interventions were described previously [13]. In short, the Social Cognitive Theory underpinned the intervention development [15], designing to promote participants to maintain a healthy diet and be physically active. The interventions of the VPAN program included four 2-hour education sessions, walking groups a resistance band, and a booklet.

Instruments
Personal and demographic information such as marital status, occupation, gender, age, alcohol consumption, and smoking was obtained using a questionnaire given to participants via face-to-face interview at the beginning of the study.

Physical activity
PA levels including moderate-intensity activity, vigorous-intensity activity, sitting, and walking time were measured using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form, validated for Vietnamese adults [16].

**Diet**

The brief dietary habits questionnaire was modified from the STEPs questionnaire developed by the WHO [17] to gather information on the consumption of vegetables and fruits, intake of animal internal organs, and the frequency of using cooking oil and salt for preparing meals.

**Metabolic outcomes**

Metabolic outcomes were blood parameters, blood pressure. They measured at baseline and post-test. Fasting blood samples were taken by a phlebotomist at commune health stations. Fasting plasma glucose, total cholesterol, HDL-C, and triglyceride concentrations were measured, and LDL-C and non-HDL-C levels were subsequently calculated [18]. Blood pressure and anthropometric measurements were taken by trained program staff following the WHO’s guidelines [17]. The mean value of systolic and diastolic blood pressures was measured after taking 3 consecutive measurements.

**Anthropometric outcomes**

Waist and hip circumferences were recorded, and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) was subsequently determined. Weight was recorded to the nearest 0.01kg, and height was recorded to the nearest 0.1cm.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics were first applied to summarize the characteristics of the cases by the status of the group. Participants in the two groups were compared at two time points using independent samples and chi-square test for dichotomous outcomes and paired t-tests for continuous outcome variables. Wilcoxon signed-rank test and Mann-Whitney U test were also applied for variables with skewed distributions.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

This study offered a community-based intervention program in terms of PA levels and dietary patterns to assess the intervention efficiency to adults with high risks of T2D and CVDs. The results reported significant improvements in PA and nutrition outcomes among intervention participants compared to the controls, which was consistent with previous studies with similar strategies [19]. Other substantial changes in MetS components and anthropometrics can also be seen in both groups.

| Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants in control and intervention groups |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variable        | Intervention (n=44) | Control (n=49)  | p               |
|                 | n (%)            | n (%)           |                 |
| Age: mean (SD) years | 58.6 (4.6)      | 56.7 (4.4)      | 0.053           |
| Gender          |                  |                 |                 |
| Female          | 35 (79.5)        | 32 (65.3)       | 0.127           |
| Male            | 9 (20.5)         | 17 (34.7)       |                 |
| Education level |                  |                 | 0.124           |
| Primary school or below | 2 (4.5)        | 6 (12.2)        |                 |
| Secondary school | 22 (50.0)       | 29 (59.2)       |                 |
| High school     | 17 (38.6)        | 8 (16.3)        |                 |
| College/University | 3 (6.8)        | 6 (12.2)        |                 |
| Occupation      |                  |                 | 0.132           |
| Farmer/worker   | 6 (13.6)         | 16 (32.6)       |                 |
| Office job      | 0 (0)            | 2 (4.1)         |                 |
| Retired         | 16 (36.4)        | 12 (24.5)       |                 |
Table 1 presents the characteristics of the participants for the 6-month intervention. There was no significant difference between the two groups (p>0.05) in baseline characteristics of the cases. The mean age was 56.8 (SD 4.6) years in the intervention group and 56.7 (SD 4.4) in control participants, most of whom were females. A large number of participants completed secondary school or higher (90%). Almost 35% of the cohort had retired. More than 84.1% of the intervention sample and 73.5% of the control sample had no smoking. Most participants in both groups had no smoking (86.4% in the intervention group and 81.6% in the control group).

Table 2. Comparison of physical activity outcomes over time and between intervention and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Intervention (n=44)</th>
<th>Control (n=49)</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline (n (%))</td>
<td>Post n (%)</td>
<td>Baseline n (%)</td>
<td>Post n (%)</td>
<td>Baseline n (%)</td>
<td>Post n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigorous activity a</td>
<td>4 (9.1)</td>
<td>8 (18.2)</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>5 (10.2)</td>
<td>11 (22.4)</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate activity a</td>
<td>12 (27.3)</td>
<td>21 (47.7)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>12 (24.5)</td>
<td>18 (36.7)</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking time: mean (SD) b</td>
<td>395.2 (554.2)</td>
<td>630.6 (539.4)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>333.6 (394.3)</td>
<td>326.7 (355.0)</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total physical activity: mean (SD) b</td>
<td>545.7 (666.3)</td>
<td>773.3 (557.1)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>448.4 (447.9)</td>
<td>502.9 (496.6)</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting time: mean (SD) min/week</td>
<td>2,529.9 (656.7)</td>
<td>1,902.7 (934.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>2,568.9 (831.0)</td>
<td>2,499.4 (940.7)</td>
<td>0.697</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Between baseline and post-test for the intervention group
<sup>b</sup> Between baseline and post-test for the control group
<sup>1</sup> Between control and intervention groups at baseline
<sup>2</sup> Between control and intervention groups at post-test
<sup>3</sup> Participation of at least ten minutes
<sup>4</sup> Nonparametric test applied to MET-min/week

PA levels of the two groups at baseline and posttest are shown in Table 2. No significant differences were observed between post-test and baseline for the control group and between control and intervention groups at baseline. There were significant improvements in moderate, walking, total PA, and sitting time in the intervention group at post-test. Evidence showed that too much sitting had adverse cardiovascular and metabolic effects on adults whether or not PA guidelines were met [20, 21], so combining both increased PA levels and reduced sitting time is an essential element for future intervention programs. Significant differences were observed between control and intervention groups at post-test, walking time, total PA, and sitting time (p<0.05), while changes in vigorous and moderate activities were not significant. The increase of PA levels in this study contributes to existing evidence on the effectiveness of PA interventions that was reported in other studies [21, 22]. However, there are differences in the intervention strategies used, which could be problematic to decide which approach would be the most favored one for a targeted group. Our study adopted the WHO’s Recommendations for Physical Activity [23], tailored the program to suit individual goals and needs, and created a motivational environment for the participants to follow and enhance their adherence. The main PA established in this study was walking, which was confirmed to be the most frequent and the safest form of PA, especially for older people [24]. In part, these efforts might explain the positive changes in PA behaviors of the intervention group.
Dietary behavior outcomes were shown in Table 3. Healthy dietary patterns provided in this intervention program followed the Food-Based Dietary Guidelines in Vietnam [25], which included advice on higher fruit and vegetable consumption while reducing red meat, salt, and fat intakes. Between control and intervention groups at baseline, there were no significant differences. Frequent use of salt for preparing meals was apparently decreased from baseline to post-test for both groups (p<0.001). In addition, daily use of cooking oil reduced significantly in the intervention group (p=0.034). These improvements provide further support for nutrition programs aimed at people between the two groups with higher prevalence in the intervention group (79.5%) compared with their control counterparts (59.2%) at post-test. The difference may refer to the participants’ dietary habits that we, unfortunately, had no access to discuss further.

Table 3. Dietary behavior outcomes over time and between control and intervention groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Intervention (n=44)</th>
<th>Control (n=49)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequent vegetable intake a</td>
<td>39 (88.6)</td>
<td>44 (89.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent fruit intake a</td>
<td>17 (38.6)</td>
<td>8 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent use of cooking oil a</td>
<td>13 (29.5)</td>
<td>14 (28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent use of salt a</td>
<td>40 (90.9)</td>
<td>48 (98.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent intake of animal internal organs b</td>
<td>35 (79.5)</td>
<td>35 (71.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[1\] Between baseline and post-test for the intervention group  
\[2\] Between baseline and post-test for the control group  
\[3\] Between control and intervention groups at baseline  
\[4\] Between control and intervention groups at post-test  
\[a\] At least once/day  
\[b\] More than twice/month

Table 4. Comparison of changes in glucose level and other metabolic parameters between control and intervention groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Intervention (n=44)</th>
<th>Control (n=49)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mM)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mM)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.20)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mM)</td>
<td>5.1 (0.94)</td>
<td>5.8 (1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mM)[a]</td>
<td>2.1 (1.3)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-HDL-C (mM)</td>
<td>3.6 (0.95)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mM)</td>
<td>2.7 (0.78)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>134.4 (17.14)</td>
<td>131.4 (18.10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[1\] Test for the intervention group  
\[2\] Test for the control group  
\[3\] p-value for baseline to post-test comparison  
\[4\] p-value for intervention vs control group at post-test
of the controls increased (+0.7 mM, p=0.12). However, no modifications for improving health in people at high risk for T2D and CVD developments, and the limited time of intervention program, as well as the improvements of MetS components could be explained by the fact that the participants were all individuals with impaired glucose tolerance, which showed an insignificant reduction in glucose (-0.11 mM, p=0.63) between the control and intervention groups. Another 10-week intervention of daily walking also showed no significant decrease in fasting plasma glucose after the intervention [31]. This outcome indirectly recommends that the efficacy of lifestyle modification on diabetes prevention might be mediated by the role of pre-diabetes phenotypes (in terms of impaired glucose tolerance and/or fasting glucose), each with distinct underlying pathophysiology related to the presence or absence of insulin resistance [32, 33]. However, changes in glucose levels were encouraging. Longer intervention may have better changes in glucose level among the at risk group. There was an unexpected increase in total cholesterol (+0.7 mM, p=0.017), while no changes were found in other metabolic components in the intervention group. Otherwise, other studies demonstrated the role of exercise in lowering triglycerides, blood pressure, total cholesterol, and LDL-C concentration [34, 35]. Significant differences in the present study, however, can be seen in the control group with the decreased HDL-C concentration (-0.2 mM, p=0.004) and the increased diastolic blood pressure (+4.3 mmHg, p=0.032). Statistical significance was also found in mean HDL-C (+0.2 mM, p=0.025) and diastolic blood pressure (+9.5 mmHg, p=0.001) between the control and intervention groups at post-test. The unclear and contrary effectiveness of the interventions for the changes of MetS components could be explained by the fact that the participants were all people at high risk for T2D and CVD developments, and the limited time of intervention program, as well as the deficient intensity of physical activities, can inhibit the improvements. Other factors such as the type of exercise and its frequency should also be considered as well.

Table 5. Comparison of changes in anthropometrics between control and intervention groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Intervention (n=44)</th>
<th>Control (n=49)</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>p&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Post-test Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Baseline Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Post-test Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Baseline Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Post-test Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>87.0 (6.04)</td>
<td>86.0 (6.36)</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>88.7 (7.14)</td>
<td>87.8 (7.51)</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip (cm)</td>
<td>93.4 (4.33)</td>
<td>93.4 (4.49)</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>97.7 (6.78)</td>
<td>94.6 (6.89)</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.93 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.93 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg) &lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60.6 (8.2)</td>
<td>58.5 (8.5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>61.4 (9.0)</td>
<td>62.4 (9.1)</td>
<td>0.473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>25.1 (2.1)</td>
<td>24.2 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>25.1 (2.3)</td>
<td>25.1 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> Between post-test and baseline for the intervention group  
<sup>2</sup> Between post-test and baseline for the control group  
<sup>3</sup> Between control and intervention groups at baseline  
<sup>4</sup> Between control and intervention groups at post-test  
<sup>5</sup> Non-parametric tests applied due to skewed distributions  

Table 5 shows the changes in anthropometrics after 6-month intervention in the two groups. At baseline, no significant improvements were observed for the control participants and between the control and intervention groups. At post-test, in the intervention group, reductions was observed in weight (-2.1 kg, p=0.001) and BMI (-0.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, p=0.001) at six-month intervention. A significant difference was also found in weight (3.9 kg, p=0.039) between the intervention and control groups at post-test. The role of PA and nutrition interventions in reducing the risks of T2D and CVDs has been well established [11]. Obesity raises the incidence of T2D to 20 times compared to normal-weight people [36] and is associated with a higher risk for mortality due to cardiovascular disease.
disease [37]. Therefore, weight reduction should be noted as a key target of lifestyle interventions for high-risk people. In the present study, weight and BMI decreased significantly in the intervention group, which was in line with other studies [38]. Since the control participants did not participate in walking groups, the outcome differences between the two groups indicate that the importance of PA levels together with the changes in dietary patterns might outweigh that of nutrition intervention only.

Health promotion is the process of enhancing people’s awareness and self-control of their health [39]. It provides a wide range of social and environmental interventions. As discussed above, a health promotion program that targets lifestyle interventions effectively reduces the risk of T2D and CVDs, although maintaining the benefits in long-term frameworks might be challenging [12, 40]. Despite that, the importance of these interventions to prevent NCDs still remains evident and therefore calls for continuous developments and implementations of them [41]. The prevalence of NCDs in Vietnam, such as hypertension, diabetes, and CVDs [4], are increasing rapidly. This increase may be due to the significant economic growth in Vietnam, which is accompanied by the presence of aging populations and lifestyle changes that have shifted the health burden from non-communicable diseases to NCDs [42]. Therefore, interventions targeting lifestyle habits, if deployed on a large scale and are supposed for long-term changes, might positively reduce the burden of these diseases to prevent morbidity and mortality in high-risk groups of people.

CONCLUSION

The prescribed PA and nutrition intervention showed effectiveness in improving PA and dietary behaviors, reducing fasting glucose level, and some metabolic parameters among the pre-diabetic adults in Vietnam.
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