



Research Article

ISSN : 2277-3657
CODEN(USA) : IJPRPM

Prevalence of Entamoeba Histolytica in Adult Diarrheic Patients of King Fahd Hospital in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Areej O. Bakhraibah

Zoology Department, Faculty of Sciences Al Faisaliah, King Abdulaziz University, KSA.
E-mail: Dr.abakhraibah@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to assess the prevalence of intestinal infections with Entamoeba histolytica and E. histolytica cysts among adult patients visiting King Fahd Hospital in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Fresh stool samples were collected from 188 patients (121 males and 67 females). Microscopic examination of fecal preparations (formol-ether concentrations) was done for detection of protozoan cysts, and larvae. To confirm the presence of E. histolytica, the stool samples were tested using the E. histolytica II antigen detection Kit. E. histolytica was detected in 156 (83%) of patients that most of them 106 (68%) needed outpatient care. The prevalence of E. histolytica infection among male was higher than that among female with no significant difference. According to nationality, Saudi patients were more infected by E. histolytica. However, E. histolytica cysts infection was more detected in non-Saudi population. E. histolytica infection was most common among Saudi women than Saudi men. In contrast, the invasive disease caused by E. histolytica infection is more common among men.

Key words: *Entamoeba histolytica, prevalence, Saudi patient.*

INTRODUCTION

Infections caused by intestinal parasites are endemic worldwide; particularly in developing countries and are described as constituting the major cause of illness and disease [1, 2]. The current assessments estimated that about 3.5 billion people are affected with intestinal parasites in the world, and 450 million are ill. The majority of people are living in the rural and urban slum areas of tropical and semi tropical parts of the world [3, 4].

Among intestinal parasites, Entamoeba histolytica is a pathogenic protozoan parasite, which can cause amoebiasis the third most frequent infectious disease leading to mortality [5]. According to the World Health Organization, Entamoeba histolytica affects about 500 million people worldwide, causing 50 million persons suffering from symptomatic illness and about 100,000 deaths [6]. It is a major public health problem, particularly in developing countries [7]. The rate of infection by E. histolytica varied among countries, socio-economic and sanitary conditions, and populations [8].

Saudi Arabia is one of important countries receiving expatriate workers from different regions that are known to be endemic for many diseases, including those caused by intestinal parasites. In Saudi, intestinal infection is one of significant public health problem mostly among children.

Previous studies in Saudi Arabia revealed high prevalence rates of infection with many species of intestinal parasites in many regions of Saudi Arabia. The majority of these studies are interested in children [9-11]. In this context, Entamoeba histolytica is one of the most common intestinal parasites identified in many regions of Saudi Arabia with varied prevalence.

The present study was interested to investigate the prevalence of E. histolytica among adult patients in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient selection

This study was carried out at King Fahd Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia during the period from November 23, 2015 to November 23, 2016. Adult male and female with Saudi and non-Saudi nationality were recruited in the study.

Samples collection and laboratory technique

A total of 188 fresh stool samples (121 males and 67 females) were collected in sterile plastic containers and sent immediately to the hospital laboratory of parasitology.

Microscopic examination of fecal preparations (formol-ether concentrations) was done to examine the presence of ova, cysts, and larvae.

To confirm the presence of *E. histolytica*, the stool samples were tested using the *E. histolytica* II antigen detection Kit (TechLab, Blacksburg, VA) according to the instructions of the manufacturer [12].

Ethical Consideration

All patients were informed of the purpose of this study and signed consent forms authorizing their voluntary participation. Data collection and clinical samples collection were approved by the university ethics committee. All the participants were interviewed by the same interviewer using a structured questionnaire.

Statistical analysis

The analysis of the collected data was done using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 20.0. The risk was estimated by using odds ratio and 95% confidence interval. The results of the association were considered as significant when the *p* value was below 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the current study, characteristics of samples study are shown in table 1. Among 188 participants, 121 (64.4%) were male and 67 (35.6%) were female. Regarding the nationality, 92 (48.9%) were Saudi and 96 (51.1%) were Non Saudi. Out of the 188 patients, 83% were infected by *E. histolytica* and the parasite ova was detected in 17% of patients.

In the present study, the rate of parasitic protozoan infection among male (64.4%) was higher than that among female (36.6%). This result was in agreement with previous studies [13, 14]. This may be explained by male lifestyle characterized by greater contact with the environment and livestock compared to females. The prevalence of inpatient cases was 38.3%, which was higher than that detected in previously studies in Saudi Arabia [11, 15]. The prevalence of cases with *E. histolytica* was 83% and only 17% of cases were recently attenuated with *E. histolytica* cyst. This may be attributed to the ability of cysts, if inside the body, to resist environmental conditions for days to weeks and can be responsible for disease transmission [16]. The transmission of these infections is usually associated with contaminated food, water, and hands, and an improper hygiene [17, 18]. The degree of each factor and the prevalence of infections differ from one region to other [14].

Table 1. Characteristics of study samples

Characteristics	N (%)
Gender	
Male	121 (64.4)
Female	67 (35.6)
Nationality	
Saudi	92 (48.9)
Non Saudi	96 (51.1)
Type of parasite	
Entamoeba histolytica	156 (83)
Parasite OVA	32 (17)
Type of care	
Inpatient	72 (38.3)
Outpatient	116 (61.7)

The distribution of *E. histolytica* and the parasite ova related to the gender, nationality, and type of care are shown in table 2. In our study, infection with *E. histolytica* or *E. histolytica* cysts was not associated with gender. *E. histolytica* or *E. histolytica* cysts infections were equally distributed between the genders with higher proportion of men infections. This result is in agreement with the previous studies suggested that *E. histolytica* infection was equally distributed between men and women with higher proportion of men [11, 19], and infection of *E. histolytica* was more prevalent in male hosts compared to female hosts in another study with no significant association [20].

Several drugs were available for treatment of *E. histolytica* or *E. histolytica* cysts infections. The choice of drugs, dosage, and the period of treatment has to be adopted after diagnostic of clinical feature of parasite and its disease manifestation (non invasive or invasive disease) [21]. Most individuals with amebiasis may be treated on an outpatient basis. Several clinical scenarios may favor inpatient care. In the present study, a significant association was shown between infection with *E. histolytica* or *E. histolytica* cysts and the type of care (P value <0.001). Among inpatient cases (69.4%) are infected with *E. histolytica* and (30.6%) are infected with *E. histolytica* cysts. Concerning outpatient cases, 91.4% are infected with *E. histolytica* and (8.6%) are infected with *E. histolytica* cysts. This difference in type of treatment may be due to the aggressivity of infection and the risk of invasive disease [22]. In the other hand, inpatient care will reduce the risk of transmission to others [23]. The prevalence of inpatient infected with *E. histolytica* cysts is higher than inpatient infected with *E. histolytica*. This result may be explained by the danger of contamination to others due the presence of cysts, because cyst excreted can transmit the pathogenic protozoa to household contacts years later [24].

Non-Saudi patients are the expatriate workers coming principally from Bangladesh, Philippine, India, Indonesia, Pakistan, Sri Lanka and Egypt which are known to be endemic foci for intestinal parasites. A significant association was shown between distribution of *E. histolytica* and *E. histolytica* cysts in Saudi and Non Saudi patient. The prevalence of infection with *E. histolytica* cysts was higher in Non Saudi patient (26.0%) compared to Saudi patient (7.6%). This may be contributed to the lifestyle, nature of work, the socio-economic status of these workers, and their direct contact with contaminated sources [25]. Also, the foodborne and waterborne transmission remain the primary sources of infection with cysts [26]. However, the prevalence of Saudi patient infected with *E. histolytica* was the highest. This result may attribute the type of domestic water used.

The distribution of *E. histolytica* infection according to gender was shown in table 3. There is a significant difference in the prevalence of *E. histolytica* infection among Saudi and non Saudi cases according to the gender. The prevalence of female infection with *E. histolytica* in Saudi population is higher than in non Saudi female. This result was in agreement with the result of Jamila [27] who recorded that, women were more affected than men with *E. histolytica* in Jeddah, but this difference was not significant. In contrast, non Saudi men have the highest percentage of *E. histolytica* infection. This result remains controversial between several studies in different population [11, 20, 28, 29]. These differences could be attributed mainly to behavior (type of work), ecological and physiological reasons or hormonal reasons. Regarding the type of care among male and female *E. histolytica* infection, we shown that women don't need an inpatient care. However, 50.5% of men infected needed inpatient care. This result showed that the invasive disease caused by *E. histolytica* infection is more common in men [26].

Table 2. Distribution of *E. histolytica* and *E. histolytica* cyst infection related to gender, nationality and type of care

	<i>Entamoeba histolytica</i>	<i>Entamoeba histolytica</i> cyst	p	OR (CI 95%)
Gender				
Male	99 (81.8)	22(18.2)	0.5	
Female	57 (85.1)	10 (14.9)		
Nationality				
Saudi	85 (92.4)	7 (7.6)	0.001	4.27 (1.74-10.46)
Non Saudi	71 (74.0)	25 (26.0)		
Type of care				
Inpatient	50 (69.4) 32	22 (30.6)	<0.001	0.21(0.09-0.48)
Outpatient	106(91.4) 68	10(8.6)		

Table 3. Distribution of Entamoeba histolytica infection in Male and Female patient

	Male	Female	p	OR (CI 95%)
Nationality				
Saudi	47 (47.5)	38 (66.7)	0.02	0.45 (0.23-0.89)
Non Saudi	52 (52.5)	19 (33.3)		
Type of care				
Inpatient	50 (50.5)	0 (0.0)	<0.001	0.46 (0.37-0.56)
Out patient	49 (49.5)	57 (100.0)		

CONCLUSION

This study revealed a relatively high frequency of *E. histolytica* infection among patient visiting King Fahd hospital in Jeddah. Both *E. histolytica* and *E. histolytica* cyst infection were identified in the studied patients with different degree of aggressiveness and type of care. In Saudi Arabia, *E. histolytica* poses a common and significant public health problem. In order to prevent the infection or its spread to others, it is necessary to follow a good hygiene to interrupt the major way of contamination. Also, it may be time to need a vaccine against *E. histolytica* to guard against this serious *E. histolytica* infection.

REFERENCES

1. Mehraj, V., Hatcher, J., Akhtar, S., Rafique, G., & Beg, M. A.: Prevalence and factors associated with intestinal parasitic infection among children in an urban slum of Karachi. *PLoS One.*, 3(11), e3680 (2008).
2. Savioli, L., Bundy, D., & Tomkins, A.: Intestinal parasitic infections: a soluble public health problem. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.*, 86(4), 353-354 (1992).
3. Brooker, S., Kabatereine, N. B., Smith, J. L., Mupfasoni, D., Mwanje, M. T., Ndayishimiye, O., . . . Snow, R. W.: An updated atlas of human helminth infections: the example of East Africa. *Int J Health Geogr.*, 8, 42 (2009).
4. Keiser, J., & Utzinger, J.: The drugs we have and the drugs we need against major helminth infections. *Adv Parasitol*, 73, 197-230 (2010).
5. Neghina, R., Neghina, A. A., Merkle, C., Marincu, I., & Iacobiciu, I.: A case report of pulmonary amoebiasis with *Entamoeba histolytica* diagnosed in western Romania. *J Infect Dev Ctries.*, 2(5), 400-402 (2008).
6. Lozano, R., Naghavi, M., Foreman, K., Lim, S., Shibuya, K., Aboyans, V., Memish, Z. A.; Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet*, 380(9859), 2095-2128 (2012).
7. Haque, R., Huston, C. D., Hughes, M., Houpt, E., & Petri, W. A., Jr.: Amebiasis. *N Engl J Med.*, 348(16), 1565-1573 (2003).
8. Al-Harhi, S. A., & Jamjoom, M. B.: Preliminary study of the prevalence of intestinal parasites among diarrheic inhabitants in Makkah Al-Mukarramah. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol.*, 37(2), 671-680 (2007).
9. Al-Eissa, Y. A., Assuhaimi, S. A., Abdullah, A. M., AboBakr, A. M., al-Husain, M. A., al-Nasser, M. N., & al Borno, M. K. Prevalence of intestinal parasites in Saudi children: a community-based study. *J Trop Pediatr.*, 41(1), 47-49 (1995).
10. Al-Shammari, S., Khoja, T., El-Khwasky, F., & Gad, A.: Intestinal parasitic diseases in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: prevalence, sociodemographic and environmental associates. *Trop Med Int Health.*, 6(3), 184-189 (2001).

11. Hegazi, M. A., Patel, T. A., & El-Deek, B. S.: Prevalence and characters of *Entamoeba histolytica* infection in Saudi infants and children admitted with diarrhea at 2 main hospitals at South Jeddah: a re-emerging serious infection with unusual presentation. *Braz J Infect Dis.*, 17(1), 32-40 (2013).
12. WHO. Basic laboratory methods in medical parasitology. World Health Organization (WHO), Geneva (1991). <http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/40793>
13. Hawash, Y. A., Dorgham, L., Amir el, A. M., & Sharaf, O. F. Prevalence of Intestinal Protozoa among Saudi Patients with Chronic Renal Failure: A Case-Control Study. *J Trop Med.*, 2015, 563478 (2015).
14. Zagloul, D. A., Khodari, Y. A., Gazzaz, Z. J., Dhafar, K. O., Shaker, H. A., & Farooq, M. U.: Prevalence of Intestinal Parasites among Patients of Al-Noor Specialist Hospital, Makkah, Saudi Arabia. *Oman Med J*, 26(3), 182-185 (2011).
15. Al-Braiken, F. A.: Is intestinal arasitic infection still a public health concern among Saudi children? *Saudi Med J*, 29(11), 1630-1635 (2008).
16. Eichinger, D.: A role for a galactose lectin and its ligands during encystment of *Entamoeba*. *J Eukaryot Microbiol.*, 48(1), 17-21 (2001).
17. Baldursson, S., & Karanis, P.: Waterborne transmission of protozoan parasites: review of worldwide outbreaks - an update 2004-2010. *Water Res.*, 45(20), 6603-6614 (2011).
18. Haque, R., Mondal, D., Kirkpatrick, B. D., Akther, S., Farr, B. M., Sack, R. B., & Petri, W. A., Jr.: Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of acute diarrhea with emphasis on *Entamoeba histolytica* infections in preschool children in an urban slum of Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.*, 69(4), 398-405 (2003).
19. Acuna-Soto, R., Maguire, J. H., & Wirth, D. F: Gender distribution in asymptomatic and invasive amebiasis. *Am J Gastroenterol.*, 95(5), 1277-1283 (2000).
20. Tasawar, Z., Kausar, S., & Lashari, M. H.: Prevalence of *Entamoeba histolytica* in humans. *Pak J Pharm Sci.*, 23(3), 344-348 (2010).
21. Fotedar, R., Stark, D., Beebe, N., Marriott, D., Ellis, J., & Harkness, J.: Laboratory diagnostic techniques for *Entamoeba* species. *Clin Microbiol Rev.*, 20(3), 511-532 (2007).
22. Haque, R., Mondal, D., Duggal, P., Kabir, M., Roy, S., Farr, B. M., Petri, W. A., Jr.: *Entamoeba histolytica* infection in children and protection from subsequent amebiasis. *Infect Immun.*, 74(2), 904-909 (2006).
23. Heymann, D.L. Control of communicable diseases manual. American Public Health Association (2008).
24. Vreden, S. G., Visser, L. G., Verweij, J. J., Blotkamp, J., Stuiver, P. C., Aguirre, A., & Polderman, A. M.: Outbreak of amebiasis in a family in The Netherlands. *Clin Infect Dis.*, 31(4), 1101-1104 (2000).
25. Thompson, R. C., & Smith, A.: Zoonotic enteric protozoa. *Vet Parasitol.*, 182(1), 70-78 (2011).
26. Fletcher, S. M., Stark, D., Harkness, J., & Ellis, J.: Enteric protozoa in the developed world: a public health perspective. *Clin Microbiol Rev.*, 25(3), 420-449 (2012).
27. Jamila, S.: Factors associated with high prevalence of *Entamoeba histolytica* / *dispar* infection among children in Jeddah, KSA. (2014).
28. Ejaz, M., Murtaza, G., Ahmad, M., Khan, S. A., Najam-us-Saqib, Q., Asad, M. H. H. B., . . . Hussain, I.: Determination of the prevalence of *Entamoeba histolytica* in human at a private fertilizer company hospital in Pakistan using microscopic technique. *African Journal of Microbiology Research.*, 5(2), 149-152 (2010).

29. Ozyurt, M., Kurt, O., Yaman, O., Ardic, N., & Haznedaroglu, T.: [Evaluation of intestinal parasites in a period of four years in the coprology laboratory of a training hospital]. *Turkiye Parazitol Derg.*, 31(4), 306-308 (2007).